



Fuji Oil Group Grievance List

21	Sulady	Borneo Citra Perdana Jaya	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Dec	<p>10 Sep 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that their supplier PT GGU is a trading company (meaning the purpose of its sourcing is not only for production) and that PT Agro Manunggal Selaras is not linked to direct supplier via PT GGU. PT AM is not included in the PT GGU's TTP declaration submitted to direct supplier. As per direct supplier's request, PT GGU has carried out an investigation and concluded that there's been no link/flow between Smart and PT AM. PT GGU management has also shared a statement letter stating confirmation that there has been no transaction of goods from PT AM to direct supplier.</p> <p>3 Sep 2024 - Engagement is still on going and now direct supplier has their DS Trading to accompany Grievance Unit in communicating the required document. PT GGU/LX Int'l is responsive by far and they confirmed will prepare the documents in near future.</p> <p>15 Aug 2024 - Direct supplier's connection to this supplier is through the mills PT Parina Agromas &amp; PT Timin Boyok Sawit Makmur via PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp. PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp is a buyer of CPO from PT Agro Manunggal Selaras which is associated with Mr. Sulady. Investigations &amp; engagements are still ongoing.</p>
22	Innospre Plantations Berhad/Yayasan Sabah	Serijaya Industri Sdn Bhd (Labau Estate)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Dec	<p>T1 supplier #1 7 Jun 2024 - Grievances on Serijaya Industri was not related to palm oil operations. Supplier has initiated investigation on this case since Aug 2020 &amp; have since deploy their own sustainability team to conduct ground verification in 2021 based on the documentation that their supplier provided &amp; confirmed that the area was indeed cleared for timber tree planting. Serijaya Industri is the contractor &amp; management company of the estate &amp; is owned by Innospre Plantation Berhad.</p> <p>T1 supplier #2 6 Sep 2024 - Further conversation with the CDO of Benta Wawasan, Mr Julizam Molondo clarifies that Benta Wawasan has no authority to influence or direct the estate development activities of Serijaya Industri Sdn Bhd. Benta Wawasan has no direct control over the oil palm development activities conducted by Serijaya. While Innospre Corporation is a common shareholder in both Benta Wawasan and Innospre Plantations, the shareholding structure of Serijaya Industri is complex, with other shareholders are playing equal significant roles. However, there are additional shareholding factors that limit Benta Wawasan's capacity to pursue further investigation in this matter, particularly the intricate shareholding structure &amp; its political sensitivity. Hence, direct supplier will stop pursuing further on this grievance investigation.</p> <p>23 Aug 2024 - Seraya POM does not receive FRB from external sources, 100% of their supply comes from their own estate. They also do not have any replanting program in place. Serijaya Industri does operate under the land title of Benta Wawasan with Benta acting as the licensee &amp; receiving monthly royalties from them. However, in terms of management, they are completely separate entities. Serijaya Industri operates an oil palm plantation covering 12,000 ha &amp; runs 1 oil palm mill.</p> <p>T1 supplier #3 [Update extracted from direct supplier's ineligible grievance list] 19 Nov 2024 - Direct supplier initiated an investigation on the Innospre case since August 2020, and the investigation concluded that the land development was not related to their oil palm operations. Their land development activities were related to timber tree planting, mainly the timber species Laran (Neolamarckia cadamba). During the course of investigation, Innospre has submitted comprehensive documents for review, including: (1) Detailed concession maps showing planting blocks, in shapefile format. (2) The Sabah Forestry Department (SFD) approved Annual Work Plans (this is a formal document required to be submitted to SFD annually and shows the planned work in relation to both their timber and oil palm operations) (3) Innospre's field visit reports, including ground and drone photos of random selected points to show condition on the ground. In November 2021, when Malaysian authorities eased its Covid-19 movement control restrictions, direct supplier deployed a technical team, consisting of conservation, GIS and plantation sustainability experts to carry out field verification. The team has visited the area to verify the condition on the ground. Drones were deployed for photo/video taking where the area is not easily accessible due to lack of roads. The outcome of the field visit is consistent with Innospre's clarification where the area was indeed cleared for Laran tree planting.</p>
23	Innospre Plantations Berhad/Yayasan Sabah	Serijaya Industri Sdn Bhd (Gunung Rara Estate)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Dec	<p>T1 supplier #1 7 Jun 2024 - Grievances on Serijaya Industri was not related to palm oil operations. Supplier has initiated investigation on this case since Aug 2020 &amp; have since deploy their own sustainability team to conduct ground verification in 2021 based on the documentation that their supplier provided &amp; confirmed that the area was indeed cleared for timber tree planting. Serijaya Industri is the contractor &amp; management company of the estate &amp; is owned by Innospre Plantation Berhad.</p> <p>T1 supplier #2 6 Sep 2024 - Further conversation with the CDO of Benta Wawasan, Mr Julizam Molondo clarifies that Benta Wawasan has no authority to influence or direct the estate development activities of Serijaya Industri Sdn Bhd. Benta Wawasan has no direct control over the oil palm development activities conducted by Serijaya. While Innospre Corporation is a common shareholder in both Benta Wawasan and Innospre Plantations, the shareholding structure of Serijaya Industri is complex, with other shareholders are playing equal significant roles. However, there are additional shareholding factors that limit Benta Wawasan's capacity to pursue further investigation in this matter, particularly the intricate shareholding structure &amp; its political sensitivity. Hence, direct supplier will stop pursuing further on this grievance investigation.</p> <p>23 Aug 2024 - Seraya POM does not receive FRB from external sources, 100% of their supply comes from their own estate. They also do not have any replanting program in place. Serijaya Industri does operate under the land title of Benta Wawasan with Benta acting as the licensee &amp; receiving monthly royalties from them. However, in terms of management, they are completely separate entities. Serijaya Industri operates an oil palm plantation covering 12,000 ha &amp; runs 1 oil palm mill.</p> <p>T1 supplier #3 [Update extracted from direct supplier's ineligible grievance list] 19 Nov 2024 - Direct supplier initiated an investigation on the Innospre case since August 2020, and the investigation concluded that the land development was not related to their oil palm operations. Their land development activities were related to timber tree planting, mainly the timber species Laran (Neolamarckia cadamba). During the course of investigation, Innospre has submitted comprehensive documents for review, including: (1) Detailed concession maps showing planting blocks, in shapefile format. (2) The Sabah Forestry Department (SFD) approved Annual Work Plans (this is a formal document required to be submitted to SFD annually and shows the planned work in relation to both their timber and oil palm operations) (3) Innospre's field visit reports, including ground and drone photos of random selected points to show condition on the ground. In November 2021, when Malaysian authorities eased its Covid-19 movement control restrictions, direct supplier deployed a technical team, consisting of conservation, GIS and plantation sustainability experts to carry out field verification. The team has visited the area to verify the condition on the ground. Drones were deployed for photo/video taking where the area is not easily accessible due to lack of roads. The outcome of the field visit is consistent with Innospre's clarification where the area was indeed cleared for Laran tree planting.</p>
24	Innospre Plantations Berhad/Yayasan Sabah	Serijaya Industri Sdn Bhd (Imbak Estate)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Dec	<p>T1 supplier #1 7 Jun 2024 - Grievances on Serijaya Industri was not related to palm oil operations. Supplier has initiated investigation on this case since Aug 2020 &amp; have since deploy their own sustainability team to conduct ground verification in 2021 based on the documentation that their supplier provided &amp; confirmed that the area was indeed cleared for timber tree planting. Serijaya Industri is the contractor &amp; management company of the estate &amp; is owned by Innospre Plantation Berhad.</p> <p>T1 supplier #2 6 Sep 2024 - Further conversation with the CDO of Benta Wawasan, Mr Julizam Molondo clarifies that Benta Wawasan has no authority to influence or direct the estate development activities of Serijaya Industri Sdn Bhd. Benta Wawasan has no direct control over the oil palm development activities conducted by Serijaya. While Innospre Corporation is a common shareholder in both Benta Wawasan and Innospre Plantations, the shareholding structure of Serijaya Industri is complex, with other shareholders are playing equal significant roles. However, there are additional shareholding factors that limit Benta Wawasan's capacity to pursue further investigation in this matter, particularly the intricate shareholding structure &amp; its political sensitivity. Hence, direct supplier will stop pursuing further on this grievance investigation.</p> <p>23 Aug 2024 - Seraya POM does not receive FRB from external sources, 100% of their supply comes from their own estate. They also do not have any replanting program in place. Serijaya Industri does operate under the land title of Benta Wawasan with Benta acting as the licensee &amp; receiving monthly royalties from them. However, in terms of management, they are completely separate entities. Serijaya Industri operates an oil palm plantation covering 12,000 ha &amp; runs 1 oil palm mill.</p> <p>T1 supplier #3 [Update extracted from direct supplier's ineligible grievance list] 19 Nov 2024 - Direct supplier initiated an investigation on the Innospre case since August 2020, and the investigation concluded that the land development was not related to their oil palm operations. Their land development activities were related to timber tree planting, mainly the timber species Laran (Neolamarckia cadamba). During the course of investigation, Innospre has submitted comprehensive documents for review, including: (1) Detailed concession maps showing planting blocks, in shapefile format. (2) The Sabah Forestry Department (SFD) approved Annual Work Plans (this is a formal document required to be submitted to SFD annually and shows the planned work in relation to both their timber and oil palm operations) (3) Innospre's field visit reports, including ground and drone photos of random selected points to show condition on the ground. In November 2021, when Malaysian authorities eased its Covid-19 movement control restrictions, direct supplier deployed a technical team, consisting of conservation, GIS and plantation sustainability experts to carry out field verification. The team has visited the area to verify the condition on the ground. Drones were deployed for photo/video taking where the area is not easily accessible due to lack of roads. The outcome of the field visit is consistent with Innospre's clarification where the area was indeed cleared for Laran tree planting.</p>
25	Hass Enterprise Sdn Bhd	Hass Pelita (Lawai) Sdn Bhd	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Dec	<p>We have engaged our T1 supplier &amp; are sending their response from further investigations.</p>
26	NIS Group	Prasetya Mitra Muda	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jul	<p>17 Jul 2024 - Fuji Oil's satellite monitoring partner shared that the deforestation observed is located outside the HGU of PT PMM. The deforestation land parcels appear to be clearings carried out by cooperatives (Koperasi). However, it is unknown whether the cooperatives are connected to PT PMM without a field verification.</p> <p>T1 supplier #1 19 Jul 2024 - The land development carried out by PT PMM was due to miscommunication. PT PMM management has stated that they will have a commitment to moratorium for area outside HGU but still in its control. Further HCV/HCS assessment for area outside HGU to be conducted, area inside HGU has already undergone a peer-reviewed HCV/HCS study. Land development will resume after the HCV/HCS study is completed. PT PMM will be responsible for the cleared area through a recovery plan program.</p>
27	Sulady	Citra Palma Permai 2	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jul	<p>T1 supplier #1 31 Jul 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that the mill (Parina Agromas) linked to the case is no longer in their supply chain since 2020.</p> <p>T1 supplier #2 10 Sep 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that their supplier PT GGU is a trading company (meaning the purpose of its sourcing is not only for production) and that PT Agro Manunggal Selaras is not linked to direct supplier via PT GGU. PT AM is not included in the PT GGU's TTP declaration submitted to direct supplier. As per direct supplier's request, PT GGU has carried out an investigation and concluded that there's been no link/flow between Smart and PT AM. PT GGU management has also shared a statement letter stating confirmation that there has been no transaction of goods from PT AM to direct supplier.</p> <p>3 Sep 2024 - Engagement is still on going and now direct supplier has their DS Trading to accompany Grievance Unit in communicating the required document. PT GGU/LX Int'l is responsive by far and they confirmed will prepare the documents in near future.</p> <p>15 Aug 2024 - Direct supplier's connection to this supplier is through the mills PT Parina Agromas &amp; PT Timin Boyok Sawit Makmur via PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp. PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp is a buyer of CPO from PT Agro Manunggal Selaras which is associated with Mr. Sulady. Investigations &amp; engagements are still ongoing.</p>
28	Ta Ann Group	Ta Ann Pelita Nengah Sdn Bhd (Pelita Kanowit Blok A)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jul	<p>Part of a state government project which involves the local community as well. If the local community do not want to be part of the project, the Pelita project will exclude them. The company has ceased all land clearing in early 2019. No new land clearing has been conducted by the company since then. According to our direct supplier, the clearing is done by the community. We have asked for maps showing where are the NCR lands but however, due to the nature sensitivity of the project, they are unable to share with external stakeholder.</p>
29	Fajar Bahary Group	Uluha Semesta Jaya	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>PT USU have issued a Stop Work Order (SWO) and committed to conducting an HCV/HCS assessment before conducting any further land clearing. Unfortunately, the SWO is not publicly available to be viewed.</p> <p>15 Oct 2024 - First Resources is no longer a supplier to Fuji as of H1 2024.</p> <p>2 Aug 2024 - Setia Agrindo Mandiri conducted a HCV assessment in June 2023 as the foundation for operations. Based on supplier's initial analysis, the area mentioned in the report had been planted with oil palm by the company in 2014, before that NDPE commitment was established in July 2015. Supplier confirms the area was not classified as a HCV area based on the June 2013 assessment, nor is it included in their current conservation (No Go) areas identified &amp; designated. The land re-clearing/under-brushing carried out in 2024 was for plant replacement due to agronomic reasons.</p>
30	First Resources	Setia Agrindo Mandiri	Deforestation/Clearing	No Purchase	2024	Aug	<p>T1 supplier #1 12 Sep 2024 - WGU clarified that the land clearing was carried out by local community using heavy equipment owned by a local public figure. PT WGU has proactively taken efforts to communicate with the community to prevent further land clearing within the company's concession. In addition, the company has also completed the process of taking over the land while waiting for the HCV/HCS assessment to be completed. The HCV/HCS assessment is currently under peer review process.</p> <p>28 Jun 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that moratorium statement is still valid as per WGU management. As for their HCV/HCS assessment, it is still in progress &amp; expected to be completed in early Oct 2024.</p> <p>28 May 2024 - Based on T1 supplier's engagement with the supplier, the supplier has confirmed that the land clearing was carried out by the local community within the company's concession. PT WGU has proactively taken efforts to communicate with the community to prevent further land clearing within the company's concession.</p>
31	Lenny, MBA., M. D.	Watu Gede Utama	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>External stakeholder 6 Jun 2024 - Stakeholder has shared investigation findings that the clearing appears large-scale &amp; corporate linked. Clearings are observed up to river banks &amp; terracing are observed in undulating areas, where this is unlikely to be carried out by smallholders or local communities.</p> <p>T1 supplier #3 9 Aug 2024 - An update from WGU mentions that roughly remaining 48.59 ha clearances are confirmed by community of Gampeng Krung Seumayam, Kecamatan Datarul Makmur, Kabupaten Nagan Raya. Meanwhile, WGU is currently conducting integrated HCV/HCS assessment by Remak-Asia while moratorium is still ongoing.</p> <p>15 Jul 2024 - Alert was confirmed as land preparation activity located at Gampeng Ulu Rambat by community of Dusun Merapat, Gampeng Krung Seumayam - inside their HGU. The supplier is still committed to their moratorium.</p>
32	Sulady	Palmdale Agrosia Lestari Makmur	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>T1 supplier #1 31 Jul 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that the mill (Parina Agromas) linked to the case is no longer in their supply chain since 2020.</p> <p>T1 supplier #2 10 Sep 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that their supplier PT GGU is a trading company (meaning the purpose of its sourcing is not only for production) and that PT Agro Manunggal Selaras is not linked to direct supplier via PT GGU. PT AM is not included in the PT GGU's TTP declaration submitted to direct supplier. As per direct supplier's request, PT GGU has carried out an investigation and concluded that there's been no link/flow between Smart and PT AM. PT GGU management has also shared a statement letter stating confirmation that there has been no transaction of goods from PT AM to direct supplier.</p> <p>3 Sep 2024 - Engagement is still on going and now direct supplier has their DS Trading to accompany Grievance Unit in communicating the required document. PT GGU/LX Int'l is responsive by far and they confirmed will prepare the documents in near future.</p> <p>15 Aug 2024 - Direct supplier's connection to this supplier is through the mills PT Parina Agromas &amp; PT Timin Boyok Sawit Makmur via PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp. PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp is a buyer of CPO from PT Agro Manunggal Selaras which is associated with Mr. Sulady. Investigations &amp; engagements are still ongoing.</p>
33	Ta Ann Group	Ta Ann Pelita Durin Plantation Sdn Bhd	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>External stakeholder 6 Jun 2024 - This is a JV with the state government agency Pelita, and for the community's local economic development - we expect more of such detection to arise from this concession as participants of the Pelita project may unilaterally develop areas themselves without waiting for Ta Ann. The broader issue is also stated many times by ODPA President, Napoleon Nengah about native people's rights to develop their own NCR lands, in light of the EU. Unfortunately, T1 supplier unable to ascertain whether the detected clearings are legitimate for palm cultivation or otherwise as they do not have direct commercial relationship with Ta Ann nor do they want to assert that native people should be forced to be in compliance with NDPE commitments.</p> <p>T1 supplier #1 30 Jul 2024 - BUD confirmed that the plantation is not related to direct supplier's supply chain.</p>
34	Fangqun Family	Inti Kebun Sawit	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>We have engaged our T1 supplier &amp; are pending their response from further investigations.</p>
35	Double Dynasty	Mutara Pelita Genaan Plantation Sdn Bhd	Deforestation/Clearing	Invalid	2024	Aug	<p>[Update extracted from direct supplier's ineligible grievance list] The land clearing was not carried out by the supplier, Double Dynasty. The land clearing occurred outside Mutara Pelita Genaan Plantation's project area. There has been no new land development for by Mutara Pelita Genaan since 2015. It is important to note that the concession is a "Pelita Project", which is a common private-government-smallholder collaboration in Sarawak, Malaysia. Pelita project involves the State government of Sarawak and it is one of the State's community development project to improve community livelihood. Pelita Project is a three-way JV collaboration, where the private sector holds 60% of the shares, 30% owned by Native Customary Rights (NCR) landowners taking part in the project through the capitalization of their land. The remaining 10% shares are owned by government agency, Sarawak's Land Custody and Development Authority (LCDA). Generally, the procurement of the NCR lands will be undertaken by Pelita Holdings, a wholly owned subsidiary of LCDA, a statutory body under the Ministry of Rural and Land Development, Sarawak. Majority of the NCR/native lands consist of mix of shifting agricultural land, fruit trees, regenerating forest or secondary forest, subject to the land use history of the native lands. In cases where the NCR landowners refused to take part in the Pelita Project, the company will not conduct any land development on the plot of land as the NCR owners retained as the legal owner of the area. The detected deforestation in the report happened in such area where there was no participation in the Mutara Pelita Genaan Plantation project by these NCR owners. Specifically for the case of Mutara Pelita Genaan Plantation, the approved perimeter for this project is 13,000 ha, but less than 6000ha was surrendered by the NCR landowners for development following the principle of FPIC. The remaining 53% of the land was not developed by Mutara Pelita Genaan Plantation as the land was not surrendered to them.</p>

## Fuji Oil Group Grievance List

as of Dec 2024

Sl. No.	Company	Location	Issue	Status	Year	Month	Details
36	WTK Group	Medan Mesika Sdn Bhd	Deforestation/Clearing	Invalid	2024	Aug	<p>15 Oct 2024 - The MPO report is publicly available, and Medan Mesika has published its planted areas, making it clear that the land clearing reported in the RRR 45 report is located outside of Medan Mesika's planted area. It is important to note that, as previously mentioned, no new land clearing has been carried out by Medan Mesika since 2011.</p> <p>16 Sep 2024 - On queries related to community land clearing, the issue was publicly reported in Medan Mesika's MPO report, where due to community land claim, Medan Mesika did not carry out any development on those areas in question. In Medan Mesika's area of 4047 ha, 2598.11 ha was claimed by natives &amp; hence hectares are in progress of court case among natives. The company has committed not to encroach the area. No development inside until court ruling is out. Due to the land claim by communities, Medan Mesika has reached out to Sarawak land authorities seeking a replacement for the approximately 2,500 hectares since 2023. The official letter to the Sarawak land authorities has been shared with direct supplier as evidence to support the claim. Additionally, direct supplier's team visited the area again on September 6, 2024, to verify the claim.</p> <p>26 Aug 2024 - First of all, it is important to highlight that Medan Mesika does not share the same parent company as WTK. Having done a legal review (based on the RPO definition of group ownership), it is concluded that WTK and Medan Mesika do not share the same parent company, and are not part of the same group. Specifically on the deforestation alerts raised, Medan Mesika has clarified that the land clearing was not carried out by the Medan Mesika. The land clearing was carried out by local community, and that no new land clearing has been undertaken by the Medan Mesika since 2011.</p> <p>Direct supplier has initiated engagement and investigation with Medan Mesika when deforestation alerts were detected through our Supplier Group Compliance Monitoring Programme in 2023. During the course of the investigation, Medan Mesika submitted documents for our review, including detailed concession maps showing planting blocks. Direct supplier's field team also carried out a field verification in June 2023. Drones were deployed for photo/video taking where the area is not easily accessible. The outcome of our field visit is consistent with Medan Mesika's clarification, whereby the land development is carried out by local community.</p>
37	OTK opportunity Limited	Permatia Lestari Jaya	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>We have engaged our T1 supplier &amp; are pending their response from further investigations.</p>
38	Sin Tek Huat (STH)	Wira Uluhataram Perdana	Clearing & Developer	Monitoring	2024	Aug	<p>STH areas is currently conducting internal investigation/field verification on the allegations raised.</p>
39	NSS Group	Borneo Sawit Perdana	Deforestation/Clearing	Invalid	2024	Sep	<p><b>Alerts provided were overwritten with G50/No-S50 areas. Clearing happened inside their Non-HCS (No-Def) areas.</b></p> <p>15 Oct 2024 - The alerts related to Sungai Rangit have been monitored since after they've received full documentation signed by their director from other partners. The company provided a letter stating that the land clearing was conducted by local community in Sungai Rangit's legal boundary. Additionally, the company has committed to not source from deforested areas. While Sungai Rangit has engaged with the community, the clearing continues. For now, the alert has been dropped but will continue monitoring the clearing activities &amp; ensure Sungai Rangit upholds their commitment to not source FB from deforested areas.</p>
40	Sampoerna Agro	Sungai Rangit	Deforestation/Clearing	Closed	2024	Sep	<p>T1 supplier #11</p> <p>12 Jul 2024 - Further engagement is being handled by their trading team &amp; preliminary decision upon similar cases with other partners.</p> <p>18 June 2024 - Direct supplier has not gotten any feedback from the supplier. Hence, they've communicated this matter to their trading team.</p> <p>27 May 2024 - 6 evidence reports within HGU are clear. SR mentioned certain village as well as the land owner's name in the verification document to stand that the clearance was caused by community for agricultural land preparation at unplanted area—not by company. For 3 remaining alerts are outside the HGU, no need to push SR to provide evidence report. It's barely out of their responsibility. Meanwhile for other alerts in G2 are still under investigation by SR.</p> <p>21 Mar 2024 - PT Sungsai Rangit has confirmed that 5 of 11 alerts are outside of their legal boundaries.</p> <p>14 Dec 2023 - Alert verification was conducted by direct supplier &amp; they confirm that the clearance were caused by communities who own private land inside the company legal boundary for agricultural purposes.</p> <p>18 Sep 2023 - The alerts are within their concession but not in their development area. Further clarification indicates its in Sungai Rangit's HGU area where a letter from the village head was shared shows land purchasing between parties who are managing those HGU area. A 3rd party who is not native to the land bought part of the HGU area from the natives &amp; carried out clearing for cultivation but without any clear evidence, direct supplier is unable to state the type of cultivation.</p>
41	Sulady	Citra Palma Peritaj (Kuala Barat)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Sep	<p>T1 supplier #21</p> <p>16 Sep 2024 - Based on assessment with Samsul Basset, they have verified that the land clearing was carried out by the community. The response does not have management control over the areas identified in the alert.</p> <p>10 Sep 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that their supplier PT GGU is a trading company (meaning the purpose of its sourcing is not only for production) and that PT Agro Manunggal Selaras is not linked to direct supplier via PT GGU. PT AMS is not included in the PT GGU's TTP declaration submitted to direct supplier. As per direct supplier's request, PT GGU has carried out an investigation and concluded that there's been no link between Samsul Basset and PT AMS. PT GGU management has also shared a statement letter stating confirmation that there has been no transaction of goods from PT AMS to direct supplier.</p> <p>3 Sep 2024 - Engagement is still on going and now direct supplier has their DS Trading to accompany Grievance Unit in communicating the required document. PT GGU/LX Int'l is responsive by far and they confirmed will prepare the documents in near future.</p> <p>15 Aug 2024 - Direct supplier's connection to this supplier is through the mills PT Parra Agromas &amp; PT Timin Boyok Sawit Makmur via PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp. PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp is a buyer of CPO from PT Agro Manunggal Selaras which is associated with Mr. Sulady. Investigations &amp; engagements are still ongoing.</p>
42	Sulady	Citra Palma Peritaj (Mahakam Ulu)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Sep	<p>10 Sep 2024 - Direct supplier confirms that their supplier PT GGU is a trading company (meaning the purpose of its sourcing is not only for production) and that PT Agro Manunggal Selaras is not linked to direct supplier via PT GGU. PT AMS is not included in the PT GGU's TTP declaration submitted to direct supplier. As per direct supplier's request, PT GGU has carried out an investigation and concluded that there's been no link between Samsul Basset and PT AMS. PT GGU management has also shared a statement letter stating confirmation that there has been no transaction of goods from PT AMS to direct supplier.</p> <p>3 Sep 2024 - Engagement is still on going and now direct supplier has their DS Trading to accompany Grievance Unit in communicating the required document. PT GGU/LX Int'l is responsive by far and they confirmed will prepare the documents in near future.</p> <p>15 Aug 2024 - Direct supplier's connection to this supplier is through the mills PT Parra Agromas &amp; PT Timin Boyok Sawit Makmur via PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp. PT Green Global Utama/LX International Corp is a buyer of CPO from PT Agro Manunggal Selaras which is associated with Mr. Sulady. Investigations &amp; engagements are still ongoing.</p>
43	Tam Tian Ting, Mr.	Pure Green Development Sdn Bhd	Deforestation/Clearing	Closed	2024	Sep	<p>30 Oct 2024 - Based on latest supply chain update from our monitoring partner, Memuju Jaya (Mr. Tam Tian Ting) is no longer supplying to Yefangsa Akrab mill.</p> <p>T1 supplier</p> <p>29 Aug 2024 - Based on direct supplier's investigation, this supplier is not part of their supply chain. Evidence has shown the sensitive area has been cleared and the area will be potentially planted with palm oil. Direct supplier will continue to monitor this plantation company and ensure that this supplier will not enter the supply chain.</p>
44	Shin Yang Group/Sarawak Oil Palms Bhd	Linusu Mewah Sdn Bhd (Kebunan Estate)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Sep	<p>23 Aug 2024 - Saraya POM does not receive FB from external sources, 100% of their supply chains from their own estate. They also do not have any replanting program in place. Saraya Industri does operate under the land title of Benta Wawasan with Benta acting as the licensee &amp; receiving monthly royalties from the Saraya Group.</p> <p>18 Jul 2024 - Direct supplier informed that Saraya POM does not receive FB from external sources, 100% of their supply chains from their own estate. They also do not have any replanting program in place. Saraya Industri does operate under the land title of Benta Wawasan with Benta acting as the licensee &amp; receiving monthly royalties from the Saraya Group.</p> <p>18 Jul 2024 - Direct supplier informed that Saraya POM does not receive FB from external sources, 100% of their supply chains from their own estate. They also do not have any replanting program in place. Saraya Industri does operate under the land title of Benta Wawasan with Benta acting as the licensee &amp; receiving monthly royalties from the Saraya Group.</p> <p>26 Jun 2024 - Based on T1 engagement with PT FAP Agri, they are currently conducting land clearing for plantation development. They also clarified that they have conducted HCV-HCS assessment &amp; the land clearing is being carried out in G2 area.</p> <p>2 full team sent for HCV-HCS report to be shared.</p>
45	Fanglono Agro Plantation Group (FAP Agri)	Fanglono Agro Plantation (Kebun East)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	May	<p>5 Nov 2024 - The community members filed a case against PT SEC in Sep 2023 to local police on the allegation of land grabbing of 233.74 ha in Sabung Sanggau hamlet of Sabung village. In early Oct, the police released the results of the investigation through an official letter issued by the Head of Sambas Police office in West Kalimantan addressed directly to the complainant, which we received in early Nov. The investigation included interrogation of witnesses, verification of land concession documents and of legal permits for PT Agrowitama/PT SEC. A joint field verification with Sambas Investment Agency and involvement of the Sambas Land Agency. From the evidence reviewed, the police has concluded that there is no violation of legal requirements by PT SEC.</p> <p>15 Oct 2024 - Emphasizing on the following points which direct supplier recognizes as critical to further substantiate their previous response and address new allegations brought forth:</p> <p>(a) PT Agrowitama/PT Sarana Esa Cita has provided compensation to the legitimate land owners in Dusun Sabung Sanggau who agreed to release ownership to PT Agrowitama/PT SEC through a voluntary process, free of coercion, and held valid proof of legitimate ownership over their land.</p> <p>(b) The Presidential Instruction on Meritism is mainly aimed at addressing the situation of palm plantation permits which are overlapping with the Forestry Domain ("Kawasan hutan") determined by "Menteri / Menteri Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan". Therefore, this is not applicable to PT Agrowitama/PT SEC as we are operating and obtaining permits outside of the Forestry Domain and in an area categorized as "Area For Other Use" ("AHL Area Penggunaan Lain"). This is confirmed by the result of a review conducted by the Center of Forestry Domain Establishment Region in Pontianak ("Bala Pematangpan Kawasan Hutan Wilayah II Pontianak") and the Forestry Bureau of West Kalimantan Province ("Dinas Kehutanan Provinsi Kalimantan Barat").</p> <p>(c) Direct supplier doesn't and will not have any intention to decrease the complainants as its goes against our good governance practices. Direct supplier strongly opposes unsubstantiated hearsay by the complainants and their NGO partners. PT Agrowitama/PT SEC has conducted a forestland change from PT Agrowitama to PT SEC. A letter of support has also been issued on Dec 10 2014 and Sep 7 2015 by Sabung Village government subsequent to the aforementioned socialization and with regards to the name change.</p> <p>(d) The complainants clearly acknowledged that there were socialization/consultation sessions held by the company, which contradicts their allegation regarding inadequate PIC. Direct supplier's policies and SOPs are consistent with the requirements of the RPO and formerly PDIG with respect to land rights and PIC. Prior to commencing any assessments, PT Agrowitama/PT SEC had also conducted public and stakeholder consultations to engage local community stakeholders to engage local communities in vicinity of PT SEC.</p> <p>(e) Direct supplier fully respect and adhere to legal process. As long as the police investigation initiated by the complainants is ongoing, they will refrain from addressing demands in parallel, as it might interfere with any decision arising from the police investigation or subsequent legal proceedings, but direct supplier will not voluntarily initiate a revision of the HGU based on land claims that have not been substantiated.</p> <p>(f) The claim that burial or religious sites were destroyed is unfounded. Direct supplier conservation practices to PT Agrowitama/PT SEC involved conserving and protecting these sites. The HCV assessments have identified burial and religious sites and the company has been conserving these sites and remain in existence until this date. The HCV sign-board is one of our efforts to notify people and assist the communities in protecting these sites. The sign-boards are not a tool for PT SEC to intimidate or claim ownership over lands, but is indicating that PT SEC is committed to maintaining these areas. In addition, it is a persuasive effort to prevent unauthorized parties from conducting illegal hunting of protected RTE species, landclearing with fire (as prohibited by applicable law in Indonesia) and damaging these sites or the owner's property/heritage. It is misleading to accuse PT SEC of land grabbing or even restricting access to areas as they are not under direct supplier management control.</p> <p>(g) It is highly important to note that the complainants and the NGOs have never shared the exact location of the contested area, nor direct supplier have ever received any documentation or maps, which indicate land ownership or user rights for the contested area.</p> <p>(h) 5 June 2024 - In Sep 2023, a meeting was held between the company and community members of Makai Baharu village (Makai Baharu) located outside the Location Permit of PT SEC. The villagers claimed that they own approximately 238 hectares of land in Sabung Sanggau hamlet of Sabung village inside PT SEC concession. They demanded compensation over an area that has been acquired by PT SEC between 2012 and 2019. Land owners were identified and compensated, after verification of their land rights based on legitimate land rights documents. The villagers of Makai Baharu were unable to document their land ownership claims, nor did any previous independent assessments (HCV, SEA, HCV/HCS) participatory mappings indicate community ownership by this group. Based on this information, PT SEC declined the compensation request during the meeting, which was mediated by the local police. Unsatisfied with the meeting outcome, the community members filed a complaint with the police for alleged land grabbing case of 233.74 ha (the complainants charged the hectare of the contested land). The police investigation is currently ongoing. PT SEC respects the legal process and is awaiting the outcome of the investigation before taking any further action. We are not aware of the details of the allegations nor of the current status of the investigation. This information is the prerogative of the police at the time.</p>
46	Muslim Mas	Sarana Esa Cita	Exploitation/Violation	Closed	2024	May	<p>T1 supplier #1</p> <p>18 Jun 2024 - PT CLS has shared their maps with direct supplier which identifies the HCS &amp; HCV areas as well as plasma area allocated for smallholders. As it stands, direct supplier does not see any issues with non-compliance. PT CLS is not agreeable to share the maps beyond direct supplier.</p> <p>28 Jun 2024 - PT CLS conducted a HCV assessment in Sep 2010 &amp; a HCS assessment in Sep 2016. The land clearing was conducted inside the PT CLS concession &amp; is allocated for plasma smallholders. The land clearing does not include any HCV/HCS areas.</p>
47	Parfik Agro Sentosa (PAS)	Cipta Usaha Sejati	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jun	<p>T1 supplier #2</p> <p>26 Aug 2024 - The land in question is not official Indonesian forest &amp; does not overlap with HCV/HCS areas as assessed in 2021. The land was a former rubber estate since 2008 (opened by community). Parfik Agro Sentosa has submitted the area for RPO NPP, pending updates.</p> <p>31 Jul 2024 - Supplier shared that this is for plasma replanting &amp; outside their HCV/HCS area. Direct supplier is following up to obtain further evidence &amp; explanation from supplier.</p>
48	Rimbunan Hijau Group	Rimbunan Sawit Bhd/R.H. Plantations (Selangor Estate)	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jun	<p>We have engaged our T1 supplier &amp; are pending their response from further investigations.</p>
49	Sin Heng Chan (Malaya) Bhd	Lusun Plantations Sdn Bhd	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jun	<p>29 Oct 2024 - UPSB shared their sustainability policy. UPSB shared that in 2020 they commenced a comprehensive replanting program in order to redeliver the estate to achieve higher land productivity with latest oil palm seedlings &amp; higher yields per ha. The expansion of existing plantation took place in stages from 2002 - 2014. In addition, UPSB encouraged unreserved land issues from local communities who had been using the area for shifting cultivation for agriculture use for more than 50 years. Having recently settled all local community issues under a free, prior, informed consent (FPIC) process together with multi-stakeholder consultation, UPSB is proceeding to replant the area as the surviving palm density is very low. Via a Settlement Agreement 2007 with the Village Committee of Long Ulu comprising of 15 long houses, UPSB has agreed to allocate a Settlement Area of 1,400 acres (550 hectares) for local community usage of the stop-work order. UPSB still needs to share their NDPE policy publicly, map of UPSB's planned area &amp; map of 500ra resettlement area set aside.</p> <p>12 Mar 2024 - HCV/HCS assessment report has been shared. As for the LUCA, it's still pending.</p> <p>6 Nov 2023 - SOPB's sustainability department is currently conducting a LUCA analysis to precisely determine the extent of land clearance, based on the most recent shapefile provided by UPSB. As of now, there have been no formal discussion between SOPB and UPSB regarding acknowledgement of liability for the land cleared 150ha, due to the lack of clarity surrounding on whether UPSB has contravened the NDPE commitment. SOPB is still investigating and verifying the additional information shared by UPSB. Based on internal observation and findings from UPSB, these areas are yet to be planted with oil palm. The plan for replanting development established from the license is development honoured by the Sarawak's state government. Nevertheless, SOPB is unsure of the decision by the management of UPSB whether or not to conduct new planting within this concession. Nothing has been heard so far. While direct supplier is waiting for additional information from UPSB, they have insisted SOPB to convey to UPSB that a "stop work order" is a must until and unless the HCV/HCS assessment is completed and verified before further development.</p> <p>2 Oct 2023 - According to the director of Asia Oils Pte Ltd (SOPB trading arm in SG), a meeting was convened on 25 May at SOPB HQ with UPSB to address the raised concerns following SOPB's established Supplier Engagement Procedure. UPSB, duly represented by senior management, Plantation Director, and General Manager, responded positively to the inquiries, expressing their commitment to Sarawak's requirements as a valid supplier. During the meeting, UPSB provided clarity on their MSPO certification and affirmed that their clearing activities are conducted within legal parameters, confined to their concession area and aligned with an approved Environmental Management Plan (EMP) sanctioned by the Sarawak State Government. UPSB willingly shared the requisite information and documents pertaining to the allegations, substantiating their response. Furthermore, SOPB reiterated one of their CDP requirements, which is to conduct HCV / HCS assessments prior to any new plantings to UPSB and they had taken note on this and will bring it up to their higher management regarding the conduct of HCV/HCS assessments. Lastly, UPSB has signed SOPB's Supplier Code of Conduct (SCOC) on 7/6/23.</p>
50	Pinagap Utama Ltd	Pinagap Utama	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2024	Jan	<p>The land clearing occurred within the local community's farm. The company has no management control over the areas identified in the alert.</p> <p>T1 supplier #11</p> <p>29 Mar 2022 - Agropalma is entering our supply chain indirectly via spot market purchases. Mills entering our supply chain via spot market transactions often only appear a handful of times during the year (usually as one mill in a long list of mills associated with a delivery). Unfortunately, we don't have much leverage on these mills due to the nature of these transactions. That being said, we are monitoring this issue and will let you know if there's any new development on this front.</p>
51	AgroPalma	AgroPalma	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2022	Mar	<p>T1 supplier #21</p> <p>29 Mar 2022 - Not physically linked to Agropalma as the oil is supplied to us from supplier through T1 supplier</p> <p>T1 supplier #11</p> <p>4 Apr 2022 - Some points mistakenly mentioned in the article by CRB, on Mar-22, which resulted in conviction against the company, in a labor lawsuit, and the recent occupation of land by Agropalma, on the banks of the Acar River, in Pará. On the first point, the aforementioned public civil action, filed in 2019, was based on facts that do not match the reality experienced by our employees, and ended up resulting in a decision. The decision is not final, an appeal will be filed and will be presented by the company, as it understands that it has been complying with the determinations of labor legislation and providing adequate conditions for the execution of the work, which is confirmed by several decisions of the same Court, reiterating the dismissal of compensation claims for inadequate working conditions, filed in individual claims. As for the recent occupation of land, we emphasize that the relationship with communities is at the heart of our sustainability strategy and we have helped them to develop. All of our lands were acquired in good faith from their legitimate owners and possessors, including with the confirmation of documentation by the competent bodies at the time of acquisition. Unfortunately, decades after the purchase, noisy flows were found that compromised the legitimacy of the land documentation of some properties. As soon as we became aware of the problem, we contacted the competent bodies and asked for the cancellation of registrations, in addition to starting the process of land regularization, as determined by law. Unfortunately, in Feb 6, a group of people took advantage of this to enter non-servicable areas and declared their ownership of the land. Agropalma took measures to prevent further invasions, at the same time it sought its repossession through judicial channels, but never used or allowed attitudes that implied physical violence against any person. The agreements established at the conciliation hearing on Feb 17, for the repossession of land, are being strictly complied with by Agropalma. We understand from our supplier that the judicial system in Brazil has different stages before the conclusion and closure of the process. A first decision is issued by a single judge, but there are conditions for an appeal, which in the next stage will be judged by a collegiate. Agropalma is appealing this collegiate body, as the facts reported in the action do not match the truth. The company clarified that they comply with all provisions of labor legislation and provide adequate conditions for the execution of the work. Agropalma presents evidence of compliance with the legislation on working conditions and all the necessary documentation to the collegiate. They are quite positive that they have ample chances of success and decision reform. We shall keep you posted on the outcome of the judicial process when it becomes available.</p>

Fuji Oil Group Grievance List

52	Golden Agri-Resources/Sinar Mas	Agrolestari Sentosa	Exploitation/Violation	Monitoring	2022	May	T1 supplier is aware of the Gecko report before it is published and has released several statements regarding the Gecko allegations. A summary of our direct supplier's response for PTALS. The smallholder's plantation initiative is a long and complex process that involves the sign-off and cooperation of the respective stakeholders: smallholders, government authority, etc. T1 supplier is operating in full compliance with the laws and regulations of Indonesia and is committed to the plasma initiative which they have been investing in other ways to speed up the process. PT Agrolestari Sentosa: the smallholders are likewise participants and involved in the initiative, therefore the perception of the smallholders waiting for the company to develop the plasma smallholder's plantation made by Gecko is incorrect. The development was also delayed because the land allocated for plasma was found to be inconsistent with the government's land-use planning. T1 supplier, PT ALS, the community, and the government have identified another area and this requires modifying the legal process. The delay is in addition to the covid-related travel restrictions. They have also informed the local government of the requirement. As of 23 May: The HCV/HCSA assessment for PT Agro Lestari Sentosa in Gunung Mas was completed and at the moment, and they are conducting NPP internal verification. T1 supplier hopes to pass the RSPO procedures by the end of 2022 and finalize the plasma agreement with the communities.
53	Golden Agri-Resources/Sinar Mas	Bumi Sawit Permai	Exploitation/Violation	Monitoring	2022	May	T1 supplier is aware of the Gecko report before it is published and has released several statements regarding the Gecko allegations. A summary of our direct supplier's response for PTALS. The smallholder's plantation initiative is a long and complex process that involves the sign-off and cooperation of the respective stakeholders: smallholders, government authority, etc. T1 supplier is operating in full compliance with the laws and regulations of Indonesia and is committed to the plasma initiative which they have been investing in other ways to speed up the process. PT Agrolestari Sentosa: the smallholders are likewise participants and involved in the initiative, therefore the perception of the smallholders waiting for the company to develop the plasma smallholder's plantation made by Gecko is incorrect. The development was also delayed because the land allocated for plasma was found to be inconsistent with the government's land-use planning. T1 supplier, PT ALS, the community, and the government have identified another area and this requires modifying the legal process. The delay is in addition to the covid-related travel restrictions. They have also informed the local government of the requirement. As of 23 May: The HCV/HCSA assessment for PT Agro Lestari Sentosa in Gunung Mas was completed and at the moment, and they are conducting NPP internal verification. T1 supplier hopes to pass the RSPO procedures by the end of 2022 and finalize the plasma agreement with the communities.
54	Samsung Group	Inecda Estate 1 & 2	Exploitation/Violation	Monitoring	2022	Apr	According to our direct supplier: PT Inecda are part of Samsung C&I group, not GAMA group. PT Inecda had obtained all mandatory permits including HGU certificates ranging from 1991 to 1999 long before the Ministerial Decree for the forest area was enacted in 2016. T1 supplier has enrolled PT Inecda in their transparency programme. Expect them to achieve 100% TTP in 2023
55	Rimbunan Hijau Group	Suhur Tiasa Holdings Berhad/Tiasa Meora Sdn Bhd	Development	Monitoring	2023	May	T1 supplier has discussed with EQ several times, and feedback was another company followed up & claimed that this is a development on peat for coconut cultivation. However, they never offered any geotagged pictorial evidence of this. Hence, T1 supplier unable to ascertain whether it is eligible for grievance.
56	Innoprise Plantations Berhad/Yayasan Sabah	Serjaya Industri Sdn Bhd (Luasong Estate)	Deforestation/Clearing	Invalid	2023	Jul	Grievances on Serjaya Industri was not related to palm oil operations. Supplier has initiated investigation on this case since Aug 2020 & have since deploy their own sustainability team to conduct ground verification in 2021 based on the documentation that their supplier provided & confirmed that the area was indeed cleared for timber tree planting. Serjaya Industri is the contractor & management company of the estate & is owned by Innoprise Plantation Berhad
57	Oriental Holdings Bhd	Sumatera Sawit Lestari	Development	Monitoring	2023	Jul	T1 supplier (#1) 18 Aug 2023 - Direct supplier does not source from PT Sumatera Sawit Lestari. Based on the coordinates provided in EQ report & their GIS analysis, the clearance is in the APL area - outside of any HCV or HCS areas. T1 supplier (#2) 12 Mar 2024 - Supplier is now conducting HCV riparian evaluation of Sungai Tebingan in terms of disconnecting the alleged alert location, together with their consulting assessor (CV Greenra). PT SSL is attempting to update the actual geolocation as well as clarifying inaccurate past delineation 8 Mar 2024 - Direct supplier sent reminder asking for the most updated riparian dataset which indicates connection to the alert as well as their official document in terms of their internal conservation data evaluation due to mismatch baseline. 3 Oct 2023 - The location belongs to PT Sumatera Sawit Lestari & no fire occurred at that location. Results of overlaying deforestation indication areas with land cover maps resulting from a study by their HCV consultant (CV Greenra), there is no forest land cover. There are only oil palm plantations, thickets, bushes & mixed rubber plantations. This area is not included in the HCV conservation area that has been determined by the HCVRS. On the overlay map between the ACD deforestation indications & the HCV there is an overlap for the HCV riverbanks, the river position does not really match the HCV reference point. For the HCV itself, they still maintain it according to the actual river point in the field. On the HCV overlap map, they also display landcover study results from the HCV consultants.
58	Djohan Brothers Investindo	Kalimantan Agro Puskala	Development	Monitoring	2023	Jul	28 Nov 2024 - Direct supplier has shared documents that may justify the ownership both legal & policy regarding KAP. 4 Mar 2024 - Latest evidence report from PT KAP which revising their missed past verification into correct one. Mentioned clearance is located outside of PT KAP concession, neither part of conservation area as well. Since abandoned in years, it has turned into shrub before the clearance. It belongs to Bp Rahaban—community of Desa Puskala, Kec. Tebuk Batang, cleared for agricultural purposes and continues. Internal effort conducted by PT KAP is socialization to community to avoid fire burning. 3 Oct 2023 - That clearance approx. 13 hectares is located outside of PT Kalimantan Agro Puskala boundary. Suppliers are very committed to NDPE which have been implemented since 2016. Ground verification found that neither the area is peat nor a forest, with acid sulfate soil and classified as former fields with light vegetation (shrub) without any wood trees.
59	Agrius Sawit Perdana	Barya Bogakusuma	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2023	Jul	Direct supplier to escalate this case as there has been no response from this supplier throughout 3rd reminder by Q4 2023. Fail to be notified.
60	Triputra Agro Persada	Mega Ika Khansa	Development	Monitoring	2023	Oct	T1 supplier (#1) 21 Jul 2023 - Based on last internal record & PT MKM verification report 2022, this clearance was confirmed to be outside of PT MKM legal boundaries. Integrated HCV HCS study has been conducted with Daemeter consulting. However, upon further checks the status has been deemed unsatisfactory & they're required to resubmit improved analysis by deadline stated by HCV Network's reviewer. Meanwhile, they also released their official commitment of NDPE in their sustainability policy. However, as the report refers to event in Q4 2022, direct supplier is clarifying on the latest clearance during Q3 2023 as well. T1 supplier (#2) 28 Jul 2023 - PT MKM have submitted their integrated HCV/HCS assessment in Feb 2023. However, as the result was 'unsatisfactory', they have resubmitted their assessment in Jul 2023 & is currently on track.
61	Capella Group	Swarna Nusa Sentosa 2	Deforestation/Clearing	Monitoring	2023	Nov	13 Jun 2024 - Still no response from supplier. Direct supplier has put into red notice & communicated with their trading team. 12 Feb 2024 - Direct supplier to escalate this case as there has been no record from this supplier throughout 3rd reminder by Q4 2023. Fail to be notified.
62	Permata Hijau Group	Permata Hijau Palm Oleo	Environmental & Exploitation/Violation	Closed	2024	Mar	Direct supplier contacted Permata who has confirmed due diligence completed & continue to monitor the mill. The engagement consisted of PHG requesting PT ATAK to sign their supplier code of conduct (includes NDPE clause), sign a declaration that they will not purchase FFB from a list of banned suppliers, fill in PHG questionnaire & provide TTP. PHG has issued a public statement on the matter. Direct supplier will also continue to monitor PHG's engagement with the mill in ensuring no illegal FFBs are entering their supply chain. Permata has also put the other rogue actors in the area (Sawit Panen Terus & Mr Bukhary Sidiq).

Disclaimer: This list reports all cases received in Q4 2024, active cases in the previous quarter and last 3 years.